Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact
EISSN: 3105-5028 | PISSN: 3105-501X | Vol. 1, No. 1 (2025)

Article

AI-driven Sustainable Urban Intelligence: Integrating Smart Technologies for Efficient, Resilient, and Inclusive City Management

Haoran Zhang [1,*], Yuting Lin [1] and Grace Nguyen [1]

[1] Department of Computer Science, Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, IL, USA
* Correspondence: Haoran Zhang, Department of Computer Science, Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, IL, USA

Abstract: Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly shaping the evolution of urban ecosystems, yet current implementations often optimize isolated objectives—such as efficiency or automation—without integrating environmental and social dimensions. This study proposes a three-layer framework for sustainable urban intelligence, encompassing cognitive, behavioral, and environmental layers to harmonize personalization, mobility optimization, and carbon management. The cognitive layer leverages large language models and knowledge graphs for sustainability-oriented recommendation; the behavioral layer employs reinforcement learning and graph neural networks for adaptive mobility optimization; and the environmental layer integrates AI-enabled carbon forecasting and energy management. Through cross-layer data flow and dynamic feedback loops, the framework establishes an adaptive AI ecosystem that connects human decision-making, technological performance, and ecological feedback. The proposed model advances the conceptual transition from "smart cities" to sustainably intelligent cities, providing a blueprint for future urban AI systems that optimize not only for humans, but with humans—aligning personal actions with collective sustainability goals.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; sustainable urban intelligence; multi-agent systems; carbon management; urban sustainability

Received: 15 August 2025
Revised: 22 September 2025
Accepted: 06 November 2025
Published: 07 November 2025

1. Introduction

1.1. Context and Motivation

Artificial intelligence has evolved from isolated intelligent systems toward complex socio-technical ecosystems embedded in cities. In the early stages, AI applications were primarily focused on process automation, operational efficiency, and isolated problem-solving, such as automated manufacturing lines, traffic signal optimization, and energy management. These early AI systems, while groundbreaking, often operated in narrow, siloed domains, with limited consideration of broader urban dynamics or long-term sustainability.

Modern cities, however, are experiencing exponential growth in population, mobility demand, and resource consumption, which exacerbate challenges such as traffic congestion, air pollution, energy scarcity, and greenhouse gas emissions. Traditional AI systems are insufficient to address these intertwined issues because they often optimize a single objective metric, like minimizing travel time or maximizing energy efficiency, without integrating social, economic, and ecological consequences [1].

Sustainable urban intelligence represents a paradigm shift, where AI systems are designed to simultaneously optimize for human well-being, system efficiency, and ecological resilience [2]. Cities now function as living laboratories, integrating human behavior, digital infrastructure, and environmental feedback into a continuous loop of data-driven learning. This transformation requires AI to be adaptive, multi-layered, and context-aware, capable of mediating interactions among citizens, infrastructure, and the environment in real time.

1.2. Problem Statement

Despite advancements in AI-driven urban management, most systems remain fragmented. Traffic optimization algorithms may reduce congestion but ignore carbon emissions; energy management platforms may improve consumption efficiency without considering user mobility or behavioral patterns. These siloed approaches fail to capture the complex interdependencies between cognition (user intention), behavior (mobility patterns), and environment (carbon footprint), which are critical for achieving sustainable urban outcomes [3].

Urban sustainability requires integrated intelligence that learns across human, technical, and ecological dimensions. AI must support contextualized decision-making, enabling cities to anticipate demand, allocate resources efficiently, and promote environmentally responsible behavior. Achieving this goal demands new frameworks that connect individual decisions to collective impacts, transforming AI from a reactive tool into a proactive partner for sustainability.

1.3. Objective

This study proposes a three-layer framework for sustainable urban intelligence:

1) Cognitive Layer: Captures user preferences, intentions, and perceptions to provide personalized recommendations.
2) Behavioral Layer: Translates cognitive insights into real-world mobility and activity behaviors, optimizing efficiency and equity.
3) Environmental Layer: Monitors and manages carbon and energy flows, integrating behavioral and mobility data to reduce ecological impact.

By combining these layers, the framework aims to harmonize individual decision-making, urban system efficiency, and ecological balance through adaptive learning and closed-loop feedback mechanisms. The ultimate objective is to enable cities to self-optimize across human, technical, and environmental dimensions, achieving sustainable outcomes at scale [4].

2. The Cognitive Layer: Personalized Recommendation Systems as Urban Intelligence Interfaces

2.1. AI-Enhanced Personalization

Personalized recommendation systems have evolved from basic content delivery to context-aware urban decision support systems. SeqUDA-Rec (Luo et al., 2025) applies unsupervised data augmentation to improve sequential recommendations, enabling systems to infer latent preferences from sparse data. Li et al. (2025) integrate BERT-based sentiment analysis with user clustering, providing dynamic personalization that adapts to individual preferences, moods, and contextual factors [5-9].

Beyond technical improvements, these methods represent a conceptual shift in urban intelligence: personalization is no longer about engagement or efficiency alone. It is increasingly seen as a gateway to sustainable behavior, helping citizens navigate urban complexity while making ecologically and socially responsible choices.

2.2. Urban Relevance

In urban environments, recommendation systems extend beyond digital media into mobility, energy, retail, and public services. Examples include:

1) Mobility: Suggesting low-carbon transportation routes, shared mobility options, or multimodal commuting plans based on real-time traffic and carbon intensity.
2) Energy: Guiding households on adaptive electricity consumption, load shifting, and renewable integration.
3) Retail & Services: Nudging consumers toward sustainable purchasing decisions, such as energy-efficient appliances or eco-friendly products.
4) Public Engagement: Informing citizens of air quality, noise pollution, or energy-saving opportunities through personalized alerts and recommendations.

These cognitive interventions act as mediators between individual intentions and collective sustainability goals, creating a subtle but measurable influence on urban behavior patterns [10].

2.3. Methods and Implications

Modern AI methods, including large language models (LLMs), knowledge graphs, and multi-modal learning, enable semantic-level understanding of user intent. LLMs interpret complex preferences and contextual signals, while knowledge graphs connect individual decisions to urban infrastructure, energy networks, and carbon metrics.

The implications are profound: AI systems can nudge citizens toward low-carbon behaviors, optimize energy usage, and facilitate informed mobility choices. This cognitive layer forms the foundation of sustainable urban intelligence, linking digital interactions to physical, social, and ecological outcomes [11].

3. The Behavioral Layer: Mobility Optimization through Multi-Agent AI Systems

3.1. Behavioral Intelligence in Urban Mobility

Behavioral intelligence focuses on understanding, predicting, and coordinating human movement. The IMAGE framework captures macro-level mobility patterns for traffic and transport planning. Researchers use LLM-driven multi-agent taxi repositioning, enabling fleets to dynamically adapt to demand, reduce idle times, and minimize emissions [12]. Vision-language models are combined for street-level perception, improving pedestrian safety, traffic flow, and inclusivity.

These methods illustrate how behavioral AI translates cognitive preferences into concrete actions, creating actionable insights for urban planners and policy-makers [13].

3.2. AI Methodologies

Reinforcement learning (RL) and graph neural networks (GNNs) underpin adaptive routing, demand prediction, and flow balancing. Multi-agent collaboration improves system efficiency, fairness, and resilience, crucial for sustainable transport ecosystems [14].

As shown in Table 1, core AI methodologies applied in the behavioral layer contribute to both operational efficiency and environmental sustainability.

Table 1. Core AI Methodologies in the Behavioral Layer.

Methodology	Application	Sustainability Contribution
Reinforcement Learning	Real-time route optimization	Reduced congestion, energy savings
Graph Neural Networks	Flow and network prediction	Improved traffic balance
Multi-Agent Systems	Fleet coordination	Fair resource allocation
Vision-Language Models	Perceptual mapping	Safety and inclusiveness

3.3. Link to Cognitive Layer

The behavioral layer of sustainable urban intelligence operates in close synergy with the cognitive layer, forming a continuous feedback loop between individual-level perception and system-level action. While the cognitive layer focuses on understanding user preferences and contextual intentions through personalized recommendation systems, the behavioral layer translates these insights into collective mobility behaviors and operational adjustments across the urban network [15].

In this regard, mobility recommendation can be viewed as an extension of personalized recommendation at the behavioral scale. Rather than suggesting digital content or localized services, it provides context-aware guidance for movement—such as recommending sustainable travel modes, multimodal route choices, or shared mobility options—based on user profiles, environmental conditions, and system objectives. This integration ensures that individual preferences are not isolated from collective dynamics but instead contribute to adaptive equilibrium within the broader mobility ecosystem [16].

By linking cognitive personalization with behavioral optimization, cities can enable closed-loop learning systems where user-level feedback continuously refines system policies, and system outcomes, in turn, reshape user behavior [17]. This cross-layer interaction represents a key characteristic of sustainable urban intelligence: the co-evolution of cognition and behavior toward efficiency, equity, and environmental responsibility.

4. The Environmental Layer: AI-Enabled Carbon and Energy Management

4.1. Carbon-Aware Decision Systems

The environmental layer of sustainable urban intelligence integrates artificial intelligence with carbon and energy management systems to promote low-carbon operations and resource-efficient urban infrastructures. Within this layer, carbon-aware decision systems utilize advanced optimization, simulation, and prediction models to align technological performance with environmental sustainability objectives [18].

Recent studies highlight significant progress in this direction. A carbon quota-based optimization framework for industrial reuse has been proposed, enabling factories and urban production units to dynamically allocate emission allowances based on real-time efficiency metrics and intersectoral energy flows. This approach not only reduces overall emissions but also encourages circular economy practices through intelligent resource exchange. Complementarily, artificial intelligence has been integrated with geographic information systems (GIS) for spatiotemporal assessment of photovoltaic potential, leveraging remote sensing and environmental data to optimize the siting, timing, and capacity of solar installations across urban regions [19].

Together, these works exemplify the emerging paradigm of AI-driven environmental intelligence, in which decision-making is informed by multidimensional carbon data, predictive analytics, and sustainability constraints. By embedding carbon-awareness into the logic of urban operations—ranging from industrial energy reuse to distributed renewable deployment—cities can transition from reactive emission control to proactive, data-driven carbon governance. This transformation constitutes a cornerstone of the environmental layer, ensuring that technological innovation directly supports climate resilience and sustainable growth [20].

4.2. Integration with Urban Dynamics

The environmental layer of urban intelligence does not operate in isolation; rather, it interacts continuously with the behavioral and cognitive layers through the dynamic processes of urban life. Mobility patterns, in particular, exert a direct influence on carbon intensity, as transportation remains one of the largest sources of urban emissions. Understanding these behavioral dynamics allows carbon management systems to integrate real-time human activity data into adaptive environmental governance frameworks [21].

AI-enhanced recommendation systems play a crucial role in this integration by nudging low-carbon choices through personalized mobility suggestions, shared transit incentives, or energy-efficient lifestyle recommendations. By embedding sustainability-oriented feedback into everyday decision interfaces, these systems transform carbon reduction from an abstract policy goal into a tangible behavioral practice.

Moreover, advances in AI-based carbon prediction models enable cities to anticipate emission fluctuations arising from mobility, industrial demand, or climatic conditions. When combined with adaptive carbon quota allocation, such models support responsive and equitable carbon governance—adjusting limits and incentives according to spatial, temporal, and behavioral contexts. This integration of urban dynamics and environmental intelligence thus fosters closed-loop sustainability, where individual actions, system-level adaptation, and environmental outcomes evolve in continuous feedback [22].

4.3. Technological Pathways

Achieving sustainable urban intelligence requires not only advanced algorithms but also energy-efficient technological infrastructures that minimize the environmental footprint of AI itself. Recent progress in energy-efficient neural models demonstrates that algorithmic design can significantly reduce computational complexity and power consumption without compromising inference accuracy. Techniques such as model pruning, quantization, and adaptive computation enable scalable deployment of intelligent systems across energy-constrained urban environments [23].

At the hardware level, the adoption of RISC-V–based architectures has become a key enabler of low-power AI implementation, supporting customizable and open-source chip designs optimized for urban-scale data processing. These architectures facilitate real-time analytics and decision-making at the network edge, reducing latency and the need for energy-intensive cloud computation [24].

By linking edge intelligence with sustainable energy policies, cities can align digital transformation with environmental responsibility. For example, distributed edge nodes can operate in coordination with renewable energy grids, dynamically scheduling computational workloads based on solar or wind availability. Such technological pathways not only enhance the efficiency and resilience of AI ecosystems but also embody the principle of "green intelligence"—where computational innovation directly contributes to energy conservation and climate goals.

5. Toward an Integrated Framework: The AI–Urban Sustainability Nexus

5.1. Proposed Architecture

The proposed multi-layer framework integrates three domains:

1) Cognitive Layer: Personalized recommendations using LLM-driven inference.
2) Behavioral Layer: Multi-agent mobility optimization via RL and GNN.
3) Environmental Layer: Carbon-aware decision systems using predictive AI models.

This architecture fosters holistic urban intelligence, enabling coordinated optimization across human, technical, and ecological dimensions.

5.2. Data and Feedback Flow

Inter-layer information exchange forms closed feedback loops—cognition → behavior → environment → cognition—enabling cities to adaptively learn from their own data [25].

As summarized in Table 2, these feedback mechanisms demonstrate how data circulates among layers to reinforce sustainability outcomes.

Table 2. Cross-Layer Data and Feedback Mechanisms.

Flow Direction	Data Type	Function	Outcome
Cognitive → Behavioral	User preferences	Behavioral guidance	Sustainable mobility
Behavioral → Environmental	Activity data	Emission modeling	Real-time carbon feedback

Environmental → Cognitive	Impact metrics	Awareness reinforcement	Responsible decision-making

5.3. Outcome

The integration establishes an AI ecosystem that understands both users and the environment, transforming urban AI from a service tool into a sustainability partner. Decisions are context-aware, adaptive, and environmentally responsible, supporting long-term resilience.

5.4. Supporting Examples

Projects such as CitySense RAG demonstrate multi-source semantic reasoning for mobility personalization. Beyond Pixels applies environment-aware perception models, showing that cross-domain intelligence can achieve tangible sustainability outcomes in real-world urban settings [26].

6. Challenges and Future Directions

6.1. Data and Privacy

Integrating data from mobility, commerce, energy, and public services raises privacy and governance concerns. Approaches such as federated learning, differential privacy, anonymization, and encrypted computation are essential to enable secure, ethical data sharing across urban systems.

6.2. Model Interoperability

Semantic and technical interoperability is critical. Shared ontologies, knowledge graphs, and standardized APIs facilitate consistent data interpretation and integration. Modular architectures allow heterogeneous AI subsystems to function as collaborative agents, forming a unified urban intelligence ecosystem [27].

6.3. Ethical and Social Considerations

Balancing personalization and sustainability requires ethical design. AI should optimize for both individual satisfaction and collective benefit, avoiding bias in sustainability-driven recommendations. Transparent decision-making, fairness-aware learning, and explainable models ensure that environmental intelligence is socially equitable and value-aligned [28-30].

6.4. Research Outlook

Future research must focus on policy-integrated AI, real-time feedback loops, and explainable systems. Making ecological consequences visible and actionable allows citizens and urban planners to co-adapt, promoting long-term sustainable behaviors and policy co-evolution.

7. Conclusion

The next frontier of artificial intelligence lies in the formation of urban sustainability intelligence—an integrative paradigm where human behavior, technological systems, and environmental feedback continuously co-evolve. Unlike traditional smart city models that emphasize efficiency or automation, this new paradigm envisions AI as an adaptive mediator linking cognitive personalization, behavioral optimization, and environmental responsibility. Through multi-layered integration—spanning the cognitive layer (personalized, context-aware recommendations), the behavioral layer (multi-agent and reinforcement-based mobility intelligence), and the environmental layer (carbon-aware and energy-optimized systems)—urban intelligence can move from isolated applications toward a cohesive, learning ecosystem. The framework highlights that intelligence and sustainability are mutually reinforcing rather than competing objectives. By embedding environmental awareness into AI decision-making and aligning personalization with collective ecological goals, urban systems can achieve not only greater operational efficiency but also deeper social and environmental resilience. This integrative framework demonstrates how AI harmonizes traditionally distinct dimensions of urban systems—personalized experience, efficient mobility, and carbon neutrality—through a multi-layered architecture. It shows that AI is not merely a tool for optimization but a catalyst for systemic coherence across cognitive, behavioral, and environmental domains. Personalized recommendation systems at the cognitive layer align individual preferences with sustainability values, while the behavioral layer extends this intelligence into collective mobility optimization via multi-agent coordination and reinforcement learning. The environmental layer integrates real-time carbon assessment and energy-aware decision-making, linking micro-level actions to macro-level ecological outcomes. Together, these layers form an AI–urban sustainability nexus, supporting closed feedback loops between human intent, technological response, and environmental consequence. This framework provides a conceptual and methodological foundation for designing next-generation intelligent cities—cities that are not only smart but also ethically aligned, resource-conscious, and environmentally restorative.

References

1. R. Luo, X. Chen, and Z. Ding, “SeqUDA-Rec: Sequential user behavior enhanced recommendation via global unsupervised data augmentation for personalized content marketing,” *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2509.17361, 2025.
2. E. Rieder, M. Schmuck, and A. Tugui, "A scientific perspective on using artificial intelligence in sustainable urban development," *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 3, 2022.
3. S. Li, K. Liu, and X. Chen, “A context-aware personalized recommendation framework integrating user clustering and BERT-based sentiment analysis,” 2025.
4. S. E. Bibri, "Data-driven smart sustainable cities: A conceptual framework for urban intelligence functions and related processes, systems, and sciences," in *Advances in the Leading Paradigms of Urbanism and their Amalgamation: Compact Cities, Eco–Cities, and Data–Driven Smart Cities*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 143-173.
5. J. Jin, T. Zhu, and C. Li, “Graph neural network-based prediction framework for protein-ligand binding affinity: A case study on pediatric gastrointestinal disease targets,” *Journal of Medicine and Life Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 136–142, 2025.
6. Y. Chen, H. Du, and Y. Zhou, “Lightweight network-based semantic segmentation for UAVs and its RISC-V implementation,” *Journal of Technology Innovation and Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 2, 2025.
7. S. E. Bibri, "Data-driven smart sustainable cities of the future: Urban computing and intelligence for strategic, short-term, and joined-up planning," *Computational Urban Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 8, 2021.
8. B. Zhang, Z. Lin, and Y. Su, “Design and implementation of code completion system based on LLM and CodeBERT hybrid subsystem,” *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2509.0821, 2025.
9. A. Ortega-Fernández, R. Martín-Rojas, and V. J. García-Morales, "Artificial intelligence in the urban environment: Smart cities as models for developing innovation and sustainability," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 19, p. 7860, 2020.
10. C. Zhang, Y. Zhang, and Z. Huang, “Optimal reutilization strategy for a shipbuilder under the carbon quota policy,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 8311, 2023.
11. M.-L. Marsal-Llacuna, "How to succeed in implementing (smart) sustainable urban Agendas: ‘keep cities smart, make communities intelligent’," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1977-1998, 2019.
12. C. Zhang, X. Liu, J. Ren, H. Yu, J. Huang, and X. Luo, “The IMAGE framework for human mobility science: A comprehensive bibliometric analysis of research trends and frontiers,” *Transport Policy*, vol. 171, pp. 706–720, 2025.
13. G. Bao, L. Ma, and X. Yi, "Recent advances on cooperative control of heterogeneous multi-agent systems subject to constraints: A survey," *Systems Science & Control Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 539-551, 2022.
14. C. Zhang, H. Yu, X. Luo, W. Yin, J. Huang, X. Liu, and Z. Liu, “CitySense RAG: Personalized urban mobility recommendations via streetscape perception and multi-source semantics,” *in press*, 2025.
15. J. Feng *et al.*, "Integration of multi-agent systems and artificial intelligence in self-healing subway power supply systems: Advancements in fault diagnosis, isolation, and recovery," *Processes*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 1144, 2025.
16. R. Pan, Q. Yuan, J. Cao, C. Zhang, C. Yu, Q. Liu, and X. Liang, “Sentence-resampled BERT-CRF model for autonomous vehicle crash causality analysis from large-scale accident narrative text data,” *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, vol. 221, p. 108184, 2025.
17. N. Xu *et al.*, "Event-triggered distributed consensus tracking for nonlinear multi-agent systems: A minimal approximation approach," *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 767-779, 2023.
18. Z. Liu, H. Yu, X. Liu, C. Zhang, J. Huang, A. Liu, and X. Luo, “Spatiotemporal potential and economic assessment of highway slope-based photovoltaics: A case study in Jiangxi, China,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 401, p. 126705, 2025.
19. Q. Wang *et al.*, "Output synchronization of wide-area heterogeneous multi-agent systems over intermittent clustered networks," *Information Sciences*, vol. 619, pp. 263-275, 2023.

20. X. Liu, Q. Yu, W. Bian, H. Yu, C. Zhang, X. Liu, and X. Luo, "Unraveling spatiotemporal dynamics of ridesharing potential: Nonlinear effects of the built environment," *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 139, p. 104594, 2025.
21. X. Wang, C. Zhao, T. Huang, P. Chakrabarti and J. Kurths, "Cooperative Learning of Multi-Agent Systems Via Reinforcement Learning," in *IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks*, vol. 9, pp. 13-23, 2023
22. C. Zhang, "Myopic retailer's cooperative advertising strategies in supply chain based on differential games," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Cyber Security Intelligence and Analytics*, Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, pp. 46–55, Mar. 2023.
23. B. Zhu *et al.*, "Observer-based reinforcement learning for optimal fault-tolerant consensus control of nonlinear multi-agent systems via a dynamic event-triggered mechanism," *Information Sciences*, vol. 689, p. 121350, 2025.
24. H. Yu, C. Zhang, X. Luo, W. Yin, Z. Liu, J. Huang, and X. Liu, "Taxi repositioning via LLM-driven multi-agent coordination and experience accumulation," *preprint*, 2025.
25. S. Long *et al.*, "A fixed-time consensus control with prescribed performance for multi-agent systems under full-state constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 2024.
26. W. Yin, C. Zhang, H. Yu, X. Luo, J. Huang, J. Zhang, and S. Qi, "Beyond pixels: Vision-language models for enhanced street environment perception," *preprint*, 2025.
27. J. E. Falciani, M. Grigoratou, and A. J. Pershing, "Optimizing fisheries for blue carbon management: Why size matters," *Limnology and Oceanography*, vol. 67, pp. S171-S179, 2022.
28. Z. C. J. Yao, "Impact of the Ukraine conflict on food security: A comprehensive analysis using propensity score matching and difference in difference," *Journal of Finance Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.26549/jfr.v8i1.16890.
29. I. Ameer *et al.*, "Land degradation resistance potential of a dry, semiarid region in relation to soil organic carbon stocks, carbon management index, and soil aggregate stability," *Land Degradation & Development*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 624-636, 2023.
30. W. Sun, "Integration of market-oriented development models and marketing strategies in real estate," *European Journal of Business, Economics & Management*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 45–52, 2025.