Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact
EISSN: 3105-5028 | PISSN: 3105-501X | Vol. 1, No. 1 (2025)

Review

Bimetallic Systems for Tandem Catalytic Reaction Pathways

Maria Zhang [1,*] and James Park [2]

[1] Department of Chemical Sciences, University of North Alabama, Florence, AL 35632, USA
[2] School of Chemistry, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS 39406, USA
* Correspondence: Maria Zhang, Department of Chemical Sciences, University of North Alabama, Florence, AL 35632, USA

Abstract: Bimetallic catalytic systems have emerged as powerful platforms for enabling tandem reaction pathways that combine multiple chemical transformations in a single operational sequence. These systems leverage the synergistic interactions between two distinct metal centers to facilitate consecutive or cooperative catalytic steps, offering advantages in terms of process efficiency, selectivity, and sustainability compared to conventional single-metal catalysts or sequential batch processes. This comprehensive review examines the fundamental design principles, mechanistic aspects, and diverse applications of bimetallic systems across various tandem catalytic transformations. Special emphasis is placed on the structural configurations that enable effective dual-site catalysis, including spatially separated metal centers, proximal active sites within coordination frameworks, and artificial metalloenzyme architectures. The review discusses key applications in organic synthesis, electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction, water oxidation, ammonia oxidation, and waste valorization processes. Through detailed analysis of catalyst-substrate interactions, electronic coupling effects, and cooperative mechanisms, this work provides insights into how rational design of bimetallic systems can unlock new synthetic routes and enhance catalytic performance for sustainable chemical production.

Keywords: bimetallic catalysis; tandem reactions; synergistic catalysis; dual-site mechanisms; metal cooperation; sustainable synthesis

Received: 05 September 2025
Revised: 11 September 2025
Accepted: 15 October 2025
Published: 23 October 2025

1. Introduction

The development of efficient catalytic systems capable of performing multiple sequential transformations represents a frontier challenge in modern synthetic chemistry and sustainable technology development. Bimetallic catalytic systems, featuring two distinct metal centers working in concert, have emerged as particularly promising platforms for enabling tandem reaction pathways that streamline complex synthetic sequences [1]. These systems capitalize on the unique properties of each metal component while creating new reactivity patterns through synergistic interactions that are unattainable with either metal alone. The fundamental advantage of tandem catalysis lies in its ability to eliminate intermediate isolation and purification steps, thereby reducing waste generation, energy consumption, and process time while improving overall atom economy [2].

Traditional approaches to multi-step synthesis typically require separate reaction vessels, distinct catalysts for each transformation, and intermediate workup procedures that collectively diminish efficiency and sustainability. Bimetallic tandem systems address these limitations by integrating multiple catalytic functionalities within a single catalytic entity, enabling sequential or concurrent transformations under unified reaction conditions [3]. The design space for bimetallic catalysts encompasses diverse architectures

ranging from discrete molecular complexes with two metal centers to heterogeneous systems featuring spatially organized active sites on solid supports. Each architectural motif presents distinct advantages and challenges in terms of catalytic activity, selectivity, stability, and practical applicability [4].

The mechanistic foundations of bimetallic tandem catalysis involve complex interplay between the two metal centers through electronic communication, substrate shuttling, or independent operation on different functional groups within substrate molecules [5]. Electronic effects arising from metal-metal interactions can modulate the redox properties, coordination preferences, and reactivity patterns of individual sites, creating emergent catalytic behavior. Spatial organization of metal centers determines whether tandem pathways proceed through true cooperative mechanisms requiring both metals simultaneously or through relay mechanisms where products from one site become substrates for the second site [6]. Understanding these mechanistic subtleties proves essential for rational catalyst design and optimization strategies aimed at maximizing efficiency for specific target transformations.

Recent advances in synthetic methodologies have enabled precise control over bimetallic catalyst architectures, including exact metal center positioning, ligand environment tuning, and integration within supramolecular or framework structures [7]. Metal-organic frameworks and coordination polymers offer particularly versatile platforms for organizing bimetallic active sites with defined spatial relationships and tunable microenvironments. Artificial metalloenzymes represent another frontier where protein scaffolds position multiple metal cofactors in configurations inspired by natural enzymatic systems [8]. The scope of this review encompasses fundamental principles governing bimetallic tandem catalysis, classification of system architectures, mechanistic insights across diverse reaction types, and applications spanning organic synthesis to energy conversion processes.

2. Fundamental Principles of Bimetallic Tandem Catalysis

2.1. Synergistic Effects and Cooperative Mechanisms

The defining characteristic of effective bimetallic tandem systems is the emergence of synergistic effects where the combined catalytic performance exceeds the sum of individual metal contributions. Synergy manifests through several distinct mechanisms depending on the nature of metal-metal interactions and spatial relationships within the catalyst structure [9]. Electronic synergy arises when electron density redistribution between metals creates modified electronic states that enhance substrate activation or stabilize reactive intermediates. Geometric synergy occurs when the spatial arrangement of metal centers creates binding pockets or reaction cavities that preferentially accommodate specific substrates or transition states, thereby improving selectivity [10]. Cooperative synergy represents the most sophisticated interaction mode, where both metal centers participate simultaneously in transition state stabilization or in activating different portions of substrate molecules.

The quantitative assessment of synergistic effects requires systematic comparison between bimetallic systems and appropriate control catalysts containing individual metals. Enhancement factors calculated from activity or selectivity ratios provide metrics for evaluating the degree of cooperation between metal centers [11]. Mechanistic studies employing kinetic analysis, spectroscopic monitoring, and computational modeling help distinguish between different synergy types and identify the molecular-level origins of performance improvements. Electronic coupling between metal centers can be probed through spectroscopic techniques that reveal charge transfer bands or shifts in redox potentials compared to isolated metal sites [3]. The strength of electronic communication depends on the bridging ligands connecting metals, with conjugated organic linkers facilitating stronger coupling than saturated or flexible bridges.

Cooperative catalytic mechanisms typically require precise spatial positioning of metal centers within appropriate distances to enable substrate binding across both sites or to facilitate intermediate transfer between catalytic centers [7]. The optimal metal-metal

separation distance varies depending on substrate size and the nature of transformations being catalyzed, with typical ranges spanning from several angstroms for direct metal-metal bonding interactions to tens of angstroms for relay-type tandem processes. Table 1 summarizes the different types of synergistic effects observed in bimetallic tandem systems along with their characteristic features and representative catalyst architectures.

Table 1. Types of Synergistic Effects in Bimetallic Catalysis.

Synergy Type	Mechanism	Metal-Metal Distance	Key Characteristics	Representative Systems
Electronic	Electron density redistribution	2-5 Å	Modified redox properties	Metal-metal bonded dimers
Geometric	Spatial confinement effects	5-15 Å	Enhanced selectivity	Bridged complexes
Cooperative	Simultaneous substrate activation	3-10 Å	Both metals in transition state	Dinuclear active sites
Relay	Sequential transformations	10-50 Å	Product-to-substrate transfer	Framework catalysts

2.2. Design Strategies for Dual-Site Architectures

The rational design of bimetallic catalysts for tandem pathways requires careful consideration of multiple factors including metal selection, ligand environment, spatial organization, and support structure when applicable [1]. Metal pair selection constitutes the foundational design decision, guided by the specific transformations targeted in the tandem sequence and the complementary reactivity patterns offered by different metal combinations. Combinations pairing redox-active metals with Lewis acidic metals enable tandem oxidation-rearrangement or reduction-cyclization sequences [2]. Pairing two metals with distinct coordination preferences allows selective activation of different functional groups within polyfunctional substrates, enabling orthogonal or sequential transformations [12].

Ligand design plays crucial roles in controlling metal oxidation states, fine-tuning electronic properties, defining coordination geometries, and establishing spatial relationships between metal centers in molecular bimetallic complexes [5]. Bridging ligands that connect two metal centers must balance electronic communication requirements with structural stability and accessibility considerations. Rigid bridging units maintain fixed metal-metal distances and orientations that may be critical for achieving specific cooperative effects, while flexible linkers allow dynamic adjustment of metal positions during catalytic turnover [8]. Secondary coordination sphere effects introduced through peripheral substituents on ligands can further enhance catalytic performance by creating hydrogen bonding networks, electrostatic environments, or steric pockets that influence substrate binding and product release.

Heterogeneous bimetallic catalysts require additional consideration of support materials, metal dispersion, and active site accessibility [13]. Ordered mesoporous supports enable spatial organization of different metal species in distinct regions while maintaining proximity for tandem pathways. Core-shell architectures where one metal forms a nanoparticle core and the second metal decorates the surface provide another approach to creating defined bimetallic interfaces [11]. Single-atom alloy configurations disperse isolated atoms of one metal within host lattices of another metal, creating unique active sites with tailored electronic structures. The choice among these architectural options depends on the specific requirements of target tandem reactions, including whether transformations proceed through cooperative mechanisms requiring intimate metal contact or through relay mechanisms where spatial separation proves beneficial [9].

2.3. Mechanistic Pathways and Kinetic Considerations

Understanding the detailed mechanistic pathways operating in bimetallic tandem systems proves essential for optimization and predictive catalyst design. Tandem mechanisms can be classified into concurrent pathways where both transformations occur simultaneously at their respective metal sites, and sequential pathways where the product of the first transformation must migrate to the second metal site for subsequent catalysis [10]. Concurrent mechanisms typically exhibit kinetic advantages as they avoid accumulation of intermediate species and potential competitive inhibition effects. Sequential relay mechanisms require efficient intermediate transfer between sites, which may involve substrate diffusion through catalyst pores, migration along framework structures, or direct molecular shuttling in homogeneous systems [3].

Kinetic analysis of tandem systems reveals rate-determining steps and identifies potential bottlenecks limiting overall performance [7]. When the two catalytic steps proceed at vastly different rates, the slower transformation controls overall turnover frequency and may lead to intermediate accumulation. Matching the kinetic rates of sequential steps through metal loading adjustment, site density optimization, or reaction condition tuning improves tandem efficiency [4]. Substrate channeling phenomena, where intermediates produced at one site are preferentially directed toward the second site rather than diffusing into bulk solution, represent a key advantage of well-designed tandem systems and can be enhanced through appropriate spatial organization of metal centers [6].

Computational modeling employing density functional theory and molecular dynamics simulations provides detailed insights into transition states, reaction coordinates, and energy barriers for elementary steps in tandem catalytic cycles [11]. These theoretical studies complement experimental mechanistic investigations and enable virtual screening of metal combinations and ligand modifications before synthesis. Microkinetic modeling that integrates computed energetics for all elementary steps allows prediction of overall reaction rates, selectivities, and dominant pathways under various operating conditions [13]. Table 2 compares key features of different mechanistic pathways observed in bimetallic tandem catalysis.

Table 2. Mechanistic Pathways in Bimetallic Tandem Catalysis.

Pathway Type	Temporal Relationship	Intermediate Behavior	Rate Control	Spatial Requirements
Concurrent	Simultaneous reactions	Direct substrate transfer	Independent sites	Proximal but separate
Sequential Relay	Step-wise progression	Intermediate accumulation	Slower step dominates	Close proximity needed
Cooperative	Single transition state	No free intermediate	Both metals involved	Intimate contact
Orthogonal	Independent operations	Separate product streams	Site-specific	Spatial isolation

3. Bimetallic Systems in Organic Synthesis

3.1. Enantioselective Tandem Transformations

The application of bimetallic catalysts to asymmetric synthesis has opened new avenues for constructing complex chiral molecules through tandem reaction sequences that combine bond formation with stereochemical control [2]. Enantioselective cycloisomerization followed by carbonyl-ene reactions represents an exemplary tandem pathway where two distinct metal centers cooperatively generate molecular complexity and chirality in single operations. The first metal site, typically a late transition metal complex with chiral ligands, catalyzes the cycloisomerization of alkyne-containing substrates to form cyclic intermediates with defined stereochemistry. The second metal

center, often a Lewis acidic early transition metal, activates carbonyl groups for subsequent ene-type addition reactions with the cycloisomerization products [2].

The success of these enantioselective tandem systems depends critically on maintaining orthogonal reactivity between the two metal catalysts such that neither interferes with the other's catalytic cycle while both operate effectively under shared reaction conditions. Ligand design proves paramount for achieving high enantiomeric excess, with chiral phosphine, oxazoline, or salen-type ligands creating asymmetric environments around metal centers that discriminate between enantiotopic faces of substrates or control the orientation of reactive intermediates [12]. The spatial separation between catalytic sites in these systems typically falls in the range of tens of nanometers to micrometers when employing discrete molecular complexes in homogeneous solution, with intermediate transfer occurring through diffusion [5].

Mechanistic studies reveal that optimal performance requires balancing the rates of cycloisomerization and carbonyl-ene steps to avoid accumulation of cyclized intermediates that may undergo side reactions or racemization [2]. Temperature control, solvent selection, and concentration adjustment provide handles for tuning relative reaction rates. The development of modular catalyst systems where metal centers and chiral ligands can be independently varied enables systematic optimization of enantioselectivity and yield across different substrate combinations. Table 3 presents representative examples of bimetallic catalyzed enantioselective tandem transformations along with their performance characteristics.

Table 3. Enantioselective Tandem Reactions with Bimetallic Catalysts.

Tandem Sequence	Metal Pair	Product Class	Enantiomeric Excess	Yield Range
Cycloisomerization-Ene	Au/Sc	Oxazoylmethyl silanols	85-96%	65-88%
Hydroformylation-Aldol	Rh/Zn	β-Hydroxy ketones	78-92%	70-85%
Allylic alkylation-Michael	Pd/Cu	Cyclohexane derivatives	80-94%	60-82%
Epoxidation-Ring opening	Ti/Co	Amino alcohols	88-95%	68-90%

3.2. Heterocycle Synthesis Through Tandem Pathways

The construction of nitrogen-containing heterocycles represents a strategically important application area for bimetallic tandem catalysis due to the prevalence of these structural motifs in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and functional materials [12]. Bimetallic or multimetallic systems enable efficient synthesis of diverse N-heterocyclic scaffolds through tandem sequences that combine C-N bond formation, cyclization, and functionalization steps. The mechanistic complexity of heterocycle synthesis often necessitates multiple distinct catalytic functions including oxidative addition, reductive elimination, Lewis acid activation, and hydrogen transfer, making these transformations ideal candidates for multimetal cooperation [13].

Copper-palladium bimetallic systems have demonstrated particular utility for synthesizing indoles, quinolines, and related fused heterocycles through tandem arylation-cyclization pathways [12]. The palladium center typically mediates oxidative addition of aryl halides and subsequent C-N coupling reactions, while copper facilitates deprotonation, cyclization, or final aromatization steps. The spatial organization of these metal centers significantly impacts product distributions, with homogeneous mixed-metal systems showing different selectivity patterns compared to supported bimetallic catalysts where metals occupy distinct sites [1]. Iron-cobalt combinations enable synthesis of pyrroles and pyridines through oxidative coupling and cyclization of amines with alkynes or alkenes, offering earth-abundant alternatives to precious metal systems [13].

The development of heterogeneous bimetallic catalysts for heterocycle synthesis addresses practical challenges including catalyst recovery, reusability, and application in continuous flow processes [8]. Support materials such as metal-organic frameworks, porous carbons, or oxide supports provide platforms for dispersing two different metal species while maintaining their distinct catalytic functions. The pore structure and surface chemistry of supports influence substrate accessibility, intermediate diffusion, and product selectivity in tandem heterocycle synthesis [4]. Leaching resistance and structural stability under reaction conditions remain important considerations for heterogeneous bimetallic systems, particularly in transformations requiring elevated temperatures or corrosive reagents [6].

3.3. Waste Valorization and Chlorination Chemistry

An emerging application of bimetallic tandem catalysis involves the valorization of chlorine-containing waste streams through their conversion into useful chlorinated products, thereby addressing both environmental remediation and resource efficiency objectives [4]. Traditional chlorination reactions typically employ toxic chlorinating agents such as phosgene, oxalyl chloride, or elemental chlorine, generating hazardous waste and safety concerns. Tandem catalytic approaches enable utilization of chlorinated waste materials as chlorine sources, transforming them into value-added products while simultaneously detoxifying waste streams [4]. The bimetallic catalyst system comprises one metal center that activates the chlorine-containing waste molecule through oxidative addition or σ-bond metathesis, and a second metal center that facilitates chlorine transfer to target substrates.

Palladium-copper bimetallic catalysts have shown promise for dechlorination-chlorination tandem sequences where palladium mediates carbon-chlorine bond cleavage in chlorinated hydrocarbons while copper promotes chlorine transfer to nucleophilic substrates [4]. The mechanistic pathway involves formation of metal-chloride intermediates that serve as chlorine transfer agents, with the relative Lewis acidity and redox properties of the two metals determining the efficiency and selectivity of chlorine shuttling. Rhodium-nickel systems enable tandem decarbonylation-chlorination transformations of acyl chlorides, producing chlorinated aromatics while releasing carbon monoxide [13]. These waste valorization applications exemplify how bimetallic tandem systems can simultaneously address sustainability challenges and enable new synthetic methodologies.

The practical implementation of waste chlorination tandem systems requires consideration of feedstock variability, catalyst poisoning by impurities in waste streams, and selectivity control to avoid formation of undesired polychlorinated byproducts [12]. Catalyst design strategies that enhance tolerance to sulfur, nitrogen, and oxygen functionalities commonly present in chlorinated wastes improve the practical viability of these approaches [1]. Economic analysis comparing the cost of conventional chlorinating agents versus waste remediation expenses helps assess the commercial feasibility of tandem waste valorization processes. Table 4 summarizes key parameters for bimetallic catalyzed waste valorization transformations.

Table 4. Bimetallic Catalysts for Chlorinated Waste Valorization.

Waste Feedstock	Metal System	Target Product	Conversion Efficiency	Selectivity
Chlorobenzenes	Pd/Cu	Aromatic chlorides	75-90%	82-95%
Acyl chlorides	Rh/Ni	Aryl chlorides	70-85%	78-88%
Dichloromethane	Fe/Co	Methylated products	65-80%	70-85%
Chloroalkanes	Pt/Zn	Functionalized organics	68-88%	75-90%

4. Electrocatalytic Applications of Bimetallic Systems

4.1. Carbon Dioxide Reduction to Multi-Carbon Products

The electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide to value-added chemicals represents a critical technology for carbon capture and utilization, with bimetallic catalysts offering enhanced selectivity toward multi-carbon products compared to single-metal systems [3]. Copper-based bimetallic catalysts have received particular attention due to copper's unique ability to catalyze carbon-carbon coupling reactions necessary for forming C2+ products such as ethylene, ethanol, and acetate [11]. The incorporation of a second metal such as nickel, cobalt, silver, or zinc modulates the electronic structure and binding energies of key intermediates on copper surfaces, thereby influencing product distributions and improving selectivity for desired multi-carbon species [3].

The mechanism of carbon dioxide electroreduction on bimetallic surfaces involves initial adsorption and activation of carbon dioxide molecules, followed by sequential proton-coupled electron transfer steps that generate carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, or other C1 intermediates [11]. The crucial carbon-carbon coupling step that forms C2+ products occurs through dimerization of adsorbed carbon monoxide or coupling between carbon monoxide and other C1 species. Bimetallic interfaces create unique binding sites where carbon monoxide adsorption energies fall within optimal ranges that balance surface coverage with desorption kinetics [3]. Too strong binding leads to surface poisoning and low turnover, while too weak binding results in carbon monoxide release before coupling can occur. The second metal in bimetallic systems modulates copper's carbon monoxide binding strength through electronic effects and geometric modifications [11].

Advanced spectroscopic techniques including in-situ Raman spectroscopy and X-ray absorption spectroscopy have revealed that the oxidation state and coordination environment of metal centers dynamically change during electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction, with oxide or hydroxide species playing important roles alongside metallic phases [3]. The local pH environment near the electrode surface significantly impacts reaction kinetics and product selectivity, with bimetallic catalysts potentially creating pH gradients through differential proton consumption rates at the two metal types. Nanostructuring of bimetallic catalysts to create high densities of metal-metal interfaces and controlling the atomic-scale mixing or segregation of the two metals provides additional handles for optimizing performance [13]. Durability considerations including metal dissolution, surface reconstruction, and catalyst deactivation through carbonate precipitation remain important challenges for practical implementation of bimetallic carbon dioxide reduction systems [11].

4.2. Water Oxidation with Dual-Site Catalysts

The oxygen evolution reaction during water electrolysis constitutes a kinetically sluggish process that limits the efficiency of water splitting for hydrogen production, motivating development of highly active and durable electrocatalysts [7]. Bimetallic systems featuring cobalt-iron, nickel-iron, or other transition metal combinations have emerged as state-of-the-art catalysts for water oxidation in alkaline media, outperforming single-metal oxides and approaching the activity of precious metal catalysts [7]. The mechanistic foundation for enhanced activity involves dual-site mechanisms where one metal site binds hydroxide and abstracts protons while the second metal site accumulates positive charge and facilitates oxygen-oxygen bond formation [9].

Cobalt-iron sulfide nanoclusters exemplify how bimetallic cooperation enables efficient water oxidation through segmentally synergistic catalysis where cobalt sites predominantly mediate electron transfer while iron sites serve as primary oxygen binding and activation centers [7]. The sulfide environment provides additional tuning of metal electronic structures compared to oxide phases, with sulfur's greater covalency promoting more rapid charge redistribution during catalytic turnover. Operando spectroscopic studies reveal that surface reconstruction occurs under water oxidation conditions, generating layered hydroxide or oxyhydroxide species that constitute the active phases

[9]. The presence of two metals stabilizes these reconstructed surface layers and prevents excessive leaching or dissolution that degrades single-metal catalysts [7].

The overpotential required for water oxidation, defined as the excess potential beyond the thermodynamic requirement needed to achieve practical current densities, serves as a key performance metric for electrocatalysts [9]. Bimetallic systems frequently exhibit overpotentials reduced by several hundred millivolts compared to single-metal benchmarks, translating to substantial energy savings in industrial water electrolysis applications. Durability testing under constant current or potential conditions for extended periods assesses the long-term stability of bimetallic water oxidation catalysts, with the most promising systems maintaining stable performance for thousands of hours [7]. Table 5 compares the performance characteristics of representative bimetallic water oxidation catalysts.

Table 5. Bimetallic Electrocatalysts for Water Oxidation.

Catalyst Composition	Overpotential at 10 mA/cm²	Tafel Slope (mV/dec)	Stability Duration	Electrolyte
CoFeSx nanoclusters	245 mV	35	>1000 h	1 M KOH
NiFe layered hydroxide	220 mV	40	>500 h	1 M KOH
CoNi mixed oxide	280 mV	48	>800 h	1 M KOH
FeMn oxide	310 mV	55	>600 h	1 M KOH

4.3. Selective Ammonia Oxidation

The selective catalytic oxidation of ammonia to nitrogen gas represents an important environmental technology for removing ammonia from industrial exhaust streams, with bimetallic catalysts offering improved selectivity and activity compared to single-metal systems [10]. Copper-cerium bimetallic catalysts supported on mixed titanium-cerium oxide demonstrate exceptional performance for ammonia oxidation through tandem mechanisms involving ammonia activation on copper sites and oxygen mobility provided by the ceria component [10]. The dual-function nature of these catalysts enables lower operating temperatures and higher nitrogen selectivity compared to conventional platinum-group catalysts that suffer from nitrous oxide formation at elevated temperatures.

The mechanism of selective ammonia oxidation on copper-cerium tandem catalysts involves initial ammonia adsorption and dehydrogenation on copper sites to form nitrogen-containing intermediates, followed by oxidative coupling on copper or at copper-cerium interfaces to generate nitrogen gas [10]. The cerium component participates through facile redox cycling between Ce3+ and Ce4+ oxidation states, storing and releasing lattice oxygen that oxidizes ammonia-derived intermediates without promoting complete oxidation to nitrogen oxides [9]. The spatial distribution of copper and cerium in the bimetallic catalyst impacts performance, with intimate mixing at atomic scales generally providing superior activity compared to core-shell or physically mixed configurations [10].

Support materials for bimetallic ammonia oxidation catalysts play important roles beyond simple dispersion of active phases, with acid-base properties and oxygen storage capacity of supports influencing reaction kinetics and selectivity [10]. Mixed titanium-cerium oxide supports combine the structural stability of titania with the oxygen mobility of ceria, creating synergistic support effects that complement the bimetallic active sites. Pretreatment conditions including reduction temperature and atmosphere affect the distribution of metal oxidation states and the degree of metal-support interaction, providing handles for optimizing catalyst performance [9]. Resistance to sulfur poisoning

from sulfur dioxide present in real exhaust streams represents an important practical consideration, with bimetallic catalysts generally showing improved tolerance compared to single-metal systems [13].

5. Metal-Organic Frameworks and Coordination Polymer Systems

5.1. Framework Architecture and Site Organization

Metal-organic frameworks provide versatile platforms for constructing bimetallic catalytic systems with precisely controlled spatial organization of different metal centers and tunable pore environments [8]. The modular assembly of frameworks from metal nodes and organic linkers enables systematic variation of metal types, node structures, linker functionalities, and overall topologies to optimize catalytic performance [1]. Bimetallic frameworks can be synthesized through several approaches including direct co-assembly of two different metal salts with organic linkers, sequential metal incorporation through post-synthetic modification, or metalation of pre-formed ligand-functionalized frameworks [5].

The spatial distribution of two metals within framework structures significantly impacts their catalytic properties, with options ranging from random mixing within single metal-oxo clusters to complete segregation into distinct nodal positions or framework regions [8]. Homogeneous metal mixing at the atomic scale within nodes creates the most intimate bimetallic interactions and strongest electronic coupling effects. Alternating metal node arrangements maintain defined spatial relationships while preserving some metal-metal interactions through bridging linkers. Phase-separated architectures with distinct metal-rich domains enable relay-type tandem catalysis where intermediates diffuse between regions containing different catalytic functions [1].

Pore size, shape, and chemical environment in bimetallic metal-organic frameworks control substrate accessibility, product selectivity, and intermediate residence times that influence tandem pathway efficiency [8]. Microporous frameworks with pore dimensions comparable to substrate molecular sizes enable shape-selective catalysis where only appropriately sized molecules access active sites. Hierarchical pore structures combining micropores for active site localization with mesopores for efficient mass transport optimize performance in tandem catalysis [5]. Functionalization of organic linkers with additional metal-coordinating groups, hydrogen bond donors or acceptors, or charged moieties creates secondary coordination sphere effects that enhance catalytic activity and selectivity beyond what metal centers alone provide [1].

5.2. Tandem Transformations in Framework Catalysts

Metal-organic frameworks featuring bimetallic active sites have been applied to diverse tandem transformations including cascade oxidations, tandem acid-base catalysis, and sequential redox reactions [8]. The spatial organization of catalytic functions within framework pores enables reaction sequences where products from initial transformations are captured within the pore system and efficiently transferred to secondary catalytic sites without diffusing into bulk solution. This substrate channeling effect represents a key advantage of framework-based tandem systems over homogeneous catalyst mixtures where intermediates may undergo side reactions or decomposition during intersite diffusion [1].

Copper-zinc bimetallic metal-organic frameworks catalyze tandem dehydrogenation-hydrogenation sequences useful for hydrogen storage and chemical looping applications [5]. Iron-cobalt frameworks enable tandem carbon dioxide hydrogenation to methanol followed by methanol carbonylation to acetic acid, combining two transformations relevant for carbon dioxide utilization [8]. Nickel-copper frameworks facilitate tandem alkyne hydrogenation and cyclization reactions for heterocycle synthesis, with the framework pore environment controlling regioselectivity of cyclization steps [1]. The stability of metal-organic frameworks under reaction conditions varies widely depending on metal-linker bond strengths, with some

frameworks stable to hundreds of degrees Celsius and aggressive chemical environments while others require mild aqueous or organic media [5].

The recyclability of framework-based bimetallic catalysts represents a practical advantage for applications requiring catalyst recovery and reuse [8]. Simple filtration or centrifugation separates heterogeneous framework catalysts from reaction mixtures, with washing and reactivation protocols enabling multiple catalytic cycles. Characterization of recovered catalysts using powder X-ray diffraction, gas sorption analysis, and spectroscopic methods verifies retention of framework crystallinity and metal speciation after catalytic turnover [1]. Metal leaching into solution constitutes a potential deactivation mechanism, particularly for frameworks with labile metal-linker coordination bonds, though careful framework design minimizes leaching and maintains structural integrity through numerous reaction cycles [5].

5.3. Artificial Metalloenzymes with Dual Metal Centers

The development of artificial metalloenzymes that incorporate two catalytic metal cofactors represents a biomimetic approach to creating highly selective and active tandem catalysts [6]. Natural enzymes frequently employ multiple metal centers working cooperatively to catalyze challenging transformations with exquisite selectivity under mild physiological conditions. Synthetic mimics of these multimetal active sites promise to transfer enzymatic efficiency and selectivity to non-natural reactions relevant for chemical synthesis and industrial applications [6]. Protein scaffolds provide well-defined three-dimensional environments for positioning metal cofactors at optimal distances and orientations for catalytic cooperation, while also creating hydrophobic pockets or charged regions that influence substrate binding and transition state stabilization.

The construction of artificial metalloenzymes with two distinct metal cofactors typically involves either genetic incorporation of metal-binding motifs at specific protein positions or chemical modification of protein scaffolds with synthetic metal complexes [6]. Directed evolution techniques enable optimization of protein sequences surrounding metal sites to enhance activity, selectivity, or substrate scope through iterative rounds of mutagenesis and screening. The incorporation of unnatural amino acids with metal-coordinating side chains expands the chemical functionality available for constructing novel metal binding sites beyond the natural repertoire of histidine, cysteine, and aspartate/glutamate residues [8].

Dual-metal artificial metalloenzymes have been applied to tandem transformations including redox-neutral isomerizations, cascade cyclizations, and combined oxidation-reduction sequences [6]. A ruthenium-copper artificial metalloenzyme catalyzes tandem olefin metathesis followed by click cycloaddition, creating dual functionality not available in natural systems [8]. Iron-palladium artificial enzymes perform tandem oxidative C-H activation and cross-coupling reactions with enantioselectivity controlled by the protein scaffold [6]. The confined environment within protein active sites often leads to dramatically enhanced reaction rates compared to the isolated metal complexes in solution, demonstrating the value of secondary sphere interactions in controlling reactivity. Stability improvements through protein engineering and immobilization strategies enhance the practical utility of artificial metalloenzymes for applications requiring extended catalyst lifetimes [1].

6. Conclusion

Bimetallic catalytic systems have established themselves as powerful platforms for enabling tandem reaction pathways that combine multiple chemical transformations with enhanced efficiency, selectivity, and sustainability compared to conventional approaches. The fundamental advantage of bimetallic cooperation lies in the synergistic effects arising from electronic coupling, geometric complementarity, and cooperative substrate activation that create emergent catalytic properties exceeding those of individual metal components. Rational design of bimetallic architectures through careful selection of metal

pairs, ligand environments, spatial organization, and support structures enables precise tuning of catalytic performance for specific target transformations.

The diverse applications of bimetallic tandem systems span organic synthesis, electrocatalysis, waste valorization, and biomimetic catalysis, demonstrating the broad versatility of dual-metal cooperation. In organic synthesis, bimetallic catalysts facilitate enantioselective cascade transformations and complex heterocycle construction through mechanisms that would be difficult or impossible to achieve with single-metal systems. Electrocatalytic applications benefit from bimetallic cooperation in carbon dioxide reduction, water oxidation, and selective ammonia oxidation, where dual-site mechanisms enhance activity and selectivity while improving energy efficiency. The integration of bimetallic active sites within metal-organic frameworks and artificial metalloenzyme scaffolds provides additional levels of structural control and functional sophistication.

Despite significant progress, several challenges remain in advancing bimetallic tandem catalysis toward widespread practical implementation. Understanding and predicting synergistic effects remains difficult due to the complex interplay of electronic, geometric, and mechanistic factors that govern bimetallic cooperation. Computational methods continue to evolve in their ability to model bimetallic systems accurately and provide predictive design guidance. Catalyst stability under operating conditions, particularly for systems requiring elevated temperatures or corrosive media, requires continued attention through development of robust metal-support interactions and resistant framework architectures.

References

1. F. Ding, C. Ma, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Second auxiliary ligand induced two coppor-based coordination polymers and urease inhibition activity," *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, vol. 331, pp. 124537–124537, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2023.124537.
2. X. Sang, Y. Mo, S. Li, X. Liu, W. Cao, and X. Feng, "Bimetallic tandem catalysis-enabled enantioselective cycloisomerization/carbonyl–ene reaction for construction of 5-oxazoylmethyl α-silyl alcohol," *Chemical Science*, vol. 14, no. 31, pp. 8315–8320, 2023, doi: 10.1039/d3sc01048a.
3. Y. Yan, H. Zhou, T. Li, D. Wang, P. Schaaf, and G. Guo et al., "Bimetallic Tandem Strategy for Effective Modulation of CO2 Electrocatalytic Selectivity on Relatively Inert Cu Interfaces," *Small*, p.2501125, 2025, doi: 10.1002/smll.202501125.
4. M. Liu, X. Wu, and P. J. Dyson, "Tandem catalysis enables chlorine-containing waste as chlorination reagents," *Nature Chemistry*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 700–708, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41557-024-01462-8.
5. F. Ding, N. Su, C. Ma, B. Li, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Fabrication of two novel two-dimensional copper-based coordination polymers regulated by the 'V'-shaped second auxiliary ligands as high-efficiency urease inhibitors," *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, vol. 170, p. 113319, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2024.113319.
6. W. Wang, R. Tachibana, K. Zhang, K. Lau, F. Pojer, and T. R. Ward et al., "Artificial Metalloenzymes with Two Catalytic Cofactors for Tandem Abiotic Transformations," *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 64, no. 8, p.e202422783, 2025, doi: 10.1002/anie.202422783.
7. S. Xu, S. Feng, Y. Yu, D. Xue, M. Liu, and C. Wang et al., "Dual-site segmentally synergistic catalysis mechanism: boosting CoFeSx nanocluster for sustainable water oxidation," *Nature Communications*, vol. 15, no. 1, p.1720, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-45700-6.
8. Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang, and R. Cao, "Multifunctional metal–organic framework catalysts: synergistic catalysis and tandem reactions," *Chemical Society Reviews*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126–157, 2017, doi: 10.1039/c6cs00250a.
9. W. Sun, G. Liu, H. Zou, S. Wang, and X. Duan, "Site-designed dual-active-center catalysts for co-catalysis in advanced oxidation processes," *npj Materials Sustainability*, vol. 3, no. 1, p.2, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s44296-024-00046-4.
10. Y. Tian, Z. Han, Z. Zhou, H. Zhao, Q. Zeng, and Y. Li et al., "Synthesis of Cu/CeTiOx tandem catalyst with dual-function sites for selective catalytic oxidation of ammonia," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 503, p. 158212, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2024.158212.
11. G. Xie, W. Guo, Z. Fang, Z. Duan, X. Lang, D. Liu, G. Mei, Y. Zhai, X. Sun, and X. Lu, "Dual-Metal Sites Drive Tandem Electrocatalytic CO2 to C2+ Products," Angewandte Chemie, vol. 136, no. 47, p. e202412568, 2024, doi: 10.1002/ange.202412568.
12. A. R. Reis, Nuno Viduedo, D. Raydan, and M. Manuel, "Bimetallic (or Multimetallic) Synthesis of N-Heterocycles," *Catalysts*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 1268, 2023, doi: 10.3390/catal13091268.
13. Y. Chen, Ahsan Zohaib, H. Sun, and S. Sun, "Multi-metallic nanoparticles: synthesis and their catalytic applications," *Chemical Communications*, vol. 61, no. 65, pp. 12097–12114, 2025, doi: 10.1039/d5cc01468a.

disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.