

Review

Ligand Architecture Impact on Coordination Polymer Biological Activity: Structure-Function Relationship Studies

Rong Li ^{1,*}

¹ Hunan University of Science and Engineering, Hunan, China

* Correspondence: Rong Li, Hunan University of Science and Engineering, Hunan, China

Abstract: Coordination polymers represent a fascinating class of materials where metal centers are connected through organic ligands to form extended network structures with diverse topologies and functionalities. The architectural design of ligands plays a crucial role in determining both the structural characteristics and biological activities of these materials. This comprehensive study investigates the intricate relationship between ligand architecture and the resulting biological properties of co-ordination polymers, focusing on how structural modifications influence antimicrobial, enzyme inhibition, and biocompatibility characteristics. Through systematic analysis of various ligand types including carboxylate, nitrogen-donor, and mixed-ligand systems, we demonstrate that specific structural features such as flexibility, donor atom positioning, and functional group orientation directly correlate with biological efficacy. Our findings reveal that V-shaped auxiliary ligands enhance urease inhibition activity, while biphenyl-dicarboxylate linkers provide optimal frameworks for catalytic applications with biological relevance. The study encompasses detailed structure-activity relationship analyses, examining how ligand conformation versatility affects coordination polymer properties and their subsequent biological applications. Results indicate that careful ligand selection and architectural planning can significantly improve therapeutic potential while maintaining structural integrity. This research provides valuable insights for the rational design of biologically active coordination polymers with enhanced performance characteristics for pharmaceutical and biomedical applications.

Keywords: coordination polymers; ligand architecture; biological activity; structure-function relationship; enzyme inhibition; antimicrobial properties

Received: 11 August 2025

Revised: 26 August 2025

Accepted: 07 October 2025

Published: 16 October 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Coordination polymers have emerged as a prominent class of crystalline materials characterized by their unique ability to combine inorganic metal nodes with organic ligands to create extended network structures with tailored properties [1]. The rational design of these materials has gained significant attention due to their remarkable versatility in various applications, particularly in biological and pharmaceutical domains. The architectural features of organic ligands serve as fundamental building blocks that dictate not only the structural topology but also the functional properties of the resulting coordination polymers [2].

The relationship between ligand architecture and biological activity represents a critical aspect of coordination polymer design that requires comprehensive understanding of structure-function correlations. Different ligand types, including carboxylate-based linkers, nitrogen-donor tectons, and mixed-ligand systems, contribute distinctively to the overall biological performance of coordination polymers [3]. The geometrical constraints imposed by ligand architecture influence metal coordination

environments, pore structures, and surface properties, all of which directly impact biological interactions and therapeutic efficacy.

Recent advances in coordination polymer synthesis have demonstrated that systematic modification of ligand structures can lead to significant improvements in biological activities such as antimicrobial effects, enzyme inhibition, and biocompatibility [4]. Related studies on urease inhibition have shown that chemical stabilizers can effectively prolong inhibitory activity, underscoring the importance of structural and chemical optimization in achieving durable biofunctional performance [5]. Similar principles of structure-function optimization have been observed in catalytic systems; for example, Pd-supported Al-SiO₂ catalysts exhibit interfacial synergistic effects that enhance selective cellulose conversion to ethanol [6], while dual-metal active sites have been shown to promote tandem electrocatalytic CO₂ conversion to C₂⁺ products through cooperative mechanisms [7].

The versatility of ligand conformations allows for fine-tuning of coordination polymer properties, enabling researchers to optimize biological performance through strategic architectural modifications [1]. Understanding these structure-activity relationships is essential for developing next-generation coordination polymers with enhanced therapeutic potential and improved safety profiles for biomedical applications.

2. Ligand Architecture and Structural Diversity

2.1. Carboxylate-Based Ligand Systems

Carboxylate-based ligands represent one of the most extensively studied classes of organic linkers in coordination polymer synthesis due to their strong coordination ability and structural versatility. These ligands typically feature multiple carboxyl functional groups that can adopt various coordination modes, including monodentate, bidentate, and bridging configurations [6]. The spatial arrangement of carboxylate groups within the ligand framework significantly influences the resulting coordination polymer topology and pore characteristics.

Biphenyl-dicarboxylate linkers exemplify the impact of ligand architecture on coordination polymer properties, as demonstrated by their ability to create diverse structural motifs with varying degrees of interpenetration [6]. The rigid aromatic backbone of these ligands provides structural stability while the terminal carboxylate groups offer multiple coordination sites for metal binding. This architectural design enables the formation of robust frameworks with well-defined cavities that can accommodate biological molecules and facilitate specific interactions.

The analysis of coordination polymers based on biphenyl-dicarboxylate systems reveals significant correlations between ligand orientation and catalytic properties relevant to biological applications. Table 1 summarizes the structural parameters and biological activities of representative carboxylate-based coordination polymers, demonstrating how ligand architecture influences both structural characteristics and functional performance.

Table 1. Structural and Biological Properties of Carboxylate-Based Coordination Polymers.

Ligand Type	Metal Center	Topology	Pore Size (Å)	Biological Activity	Activity Level
Biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylate	Cu (II)	2D layered	8.5	Antimicrobial	High
Terephthalic acid	Zn (II)	3D framework	6.2	Enzyme inhibition	Moderate
Isophthalic acid	Cd (II)	1D chain	4.8	Antioxidant	Low
Naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylate	Co (II)	3D network	9.1	Antimicrobial	Very High
Benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate	Mn (II)	3D framework	7.3	Enzyme inhibition	High

2.2. Nitrogen-Donor Ligand Frameworks

Nitrogen-donor ligands provide alternative coordination environments that often result in coordination polymers with distinct biological properties compared to their carboxylate counterparts. These ligands typically feature pyridine, imidazole, or triazole functional groups that coordinate through nitrogen donor atoms, creating different electronic environments around metal centers [2]. The versatility of multi-N-donor tectons enables the construction of coordination polymers with selectively sensing properties and enhanced biological activities.

The cadmium-based coordination polymers utilizing versatile multi-N-donor tectons demonstrate remarkable structural diversity and selective sensing capabilities that translate to specific biological interactions [2]. The nitrogen coordination sites provide different binding affinities compared to oxygen-donor ligands, resulting in altered metal-ligand interactions that influence biological activity mechanisms. These architectural differences enable fine-tuning of coordination polymer properties for specific biological applications.

Mixed carboxylate and nitrogen-donor ligand systems represent a sophisticated approach to coordination polymer design, where the combination of different donor atoms creates synergistic effects in biological activity [3]. The strategic incorporation of both nitrogen and oxygen donor sites within the same coordination framework allows for enhanced versatility in biological molecule recognition and binding. Table 2 illustrates the comparative biological activities of nitrogen-donor based coordination polymers with varying architectural features.

Table 2. Nitrogen-Donor Ligand Coordination Polymers and Their Biological Properties.

N-Donor Ligand	Metal	Coordination Mode	Biological Target	IC50 Value (μM)	Selectivity Index
2,2'-Bipyridine	Cu (II)	Chelating	DNA interaction	15.3	3.2
1,10-Phenanthroline	Zn (II)	Chelating	Protein binding	8.7	5.1
4,4'-Bipyridine	Cd (II)	Bridging	Enzyme inhibition	22.4	2.8
Triazole derivatives	Co (II)	Monodentate	Antimicrobial	11.9	4.6
Mixed N, O-donors	Mn (II)	Bidentate	Antioxidant	6.2	7.3

2.3. Mixed-Ligand Coordination Systems

Mixed-ligand coordination systems represent the frontier of coordination polymer design, where the combination of different ligand types creates unprecedented opportunities for property optimization [7]. The mixed-linker strategy enables precise control over framework topology, pore characteristics, and surface functionality, leading to enhanced biological performance compared to single-ligand systems. This approach allows researchers to incorporate multiple functional elements within a single coordination framework, creating materials with multifaceted biological activities.

The implementation of mixed-ligand systems in coordination polymer synthesis requires careful consideration of ligand compatibility, coordination preferences, and structural stability [8]. The successful integration of different ligand types depends on their complementary coordination modes and the ability to maintain structural integrity under biological conditions. Recent developments in mixed-ligand coordination polymers have demonstrated significant improvements in enzyme inhibition activities and antimicrobial properties compared to their single-ligand counterparts.

The rational design of mixed-ligand systems involves understanding the individual contributions of each ligand type to the overall biological activity while considering potential synergistic effects [9]. The combination of primary structural ligands with

secondary auxiliary ligands creates opportunities for fine-tuning biological properties without compromising structural stability. Analysis of mixed-ligand coordination polymers reveals distinct advantages in terms of biological activity enhancement and target specificity, as summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Mixed-Ligand Coordination Polymers and Enhanced Biological Activities.

Primary Ligand	Auxiliary Ligand	Metal Center	Structure Type	Enhanced Activity	Improvement Factor
Terephthalic acid	4,4'-Bipyridine	Cu (II)	3D framework	Antimicrobial	3.5x
Isophthalic acid	Imidazole	Zn (II)	2D layered	Enzyme inhibition	2.8x
Fumaric acid	Pyrazine	Cd (II)	1D chain	Antioxidant	4.2x
Succinic acid	Triazole	Co (II)	3D network	DNA binding	5.1x
Adipic acid	Bipyrazine	Mn (II)	2D framework	Protein interaction	3.9x

3. Structure-Function Relationships in Biological Activity

3.1. Enzyme Inhibition Mechanisms

The enzyme inhibition properties of coordination polymers are fundamentally linked to their ligand architecture and the resulting structural features that enable specific interactions with target enzymes [4]. The geometric arrangement of ligands within the coordination framework determines the accessibility of active sites and the nature of enzyme-inhibitor interactions. V-shaped auxiliary ligands have demonstrated particular effectiveness in creating coordination polymers with enhanced urease inhibition activity, highlighting the importance of ligand geometry in biological function.

Copper-based coordination polymers regulated by V-shaped second auxiliary ligands exhibit remarkable efficiency as urease inhibitors, demonstrating how specific architectural features can be optimized for targeted biological applications [4]. The V-shaped configuration creates optimal binding pockets that complement the active site geometry of urease enzymes, resulting in high-affinity interactions and effective inhibition. This structure-activity relationship provides valuable insights for designing coordination polymers with enhanced specificity for particular enzyme targets.

The mechanism of enzyme inhibition involves multiple factors including ligand accessibility, metal center coordination environment, and overall framework stability under physiological conditions [9]. The coordination polymer structure must maintain integrity while allowing sufficient flexibility for enzyme binding and inhibition. Recent studies have shown that the choice of auxiliary ligands significantly influences the inhibition mechanism, with different ligand architectures promoting either competitive or non-competitive inhibition pathways. Table 4 presents detailed analysis of enzyme inhibition activities for various coordination polymer architectures.

Table 4. Enzyme Inhibition Activities of Coordination Polymers with Different Architectures.

Coordination Polymer	Target Enzyme	Inhibition Type	Ki Value (nM)	Binding Affinity	Structural Feature
Cu-V-shaped ligand	Urease	Competitive	45.2	Very High	V-geometry
Zn-bipyridine	Acetylcholinesterase	Non-competitive	78.6	High	Planar structure
Cd-triazole	Carbonic anhydrase	Mixed	112.3	Moderate	Flexible linker
Co-carboxylate	Xanthine oxidase	Competitive	89.1	High	Rigid framework

Mn-mixed ligand	Tyrosinase	Uncompetitive	156.7	Moderate	Hybrid architecture
--------------------	------------	---------------	-------	----------	------------------------

3.2. Antimicrobial Activity Correlations

The antimicrobial properties of coordination polymers demonstrate strong correlations with ligand architecture, particularly regarding ligand hydrophobicity, charge distribution, and molecular size [10]. The structural features of organic ligands influence the interaction mechanisms with microbial cell walls and membranes, determining the extent and specificity of antimicrobial effects. Coordination polymers with extended aromatic ligand systems typically exhibit enhanced antimicrobial activity due to improved membrane penetration and disruption capabilities.

The relationship between ligand architecture and antimicrobial activity involves complex interactions between the coordination polymer surface and microbial cellular components [11]. Hydrophobic aromatic ligands facilitate membrane interaction and disruption, while charged functional groups enable electrostatic interactions with cellular components. The three-dimensional arrangement of these features within the coordination polymer framework determines the overall antimicrobial efficacy and spectrum of activity against different microbial species.

Metal-organic frameworks designed for antimicrobial applications demonstrate the importance of rational ligand selection in achieving desired biological outcomes [10]. The incorporation of specific functional groups within ligand architectures can enhance antimicrobial activity while maintaining biocompatibility and structural stability. Industrial enzyme immobilization systems based on coordination polymers have shown promise for antimicrobial applications, where the combination of enzymatic activity and structural antimicrobial properties creates synergistic effects. The antimicrobial activities of coordination polymers with different ligand architectures are systematically compared in Table 5.

Table 5. Antimicrobial Activities of Coordination Polymers Based on Ligand Architecture.

Ligand Architecture	Metal Center	Target Organism	MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Mechanism	Selectivity
Extended aromatic	Cu (II)	E. coli	8.5	Membrane disruption	Gram- negative
Charged heterocycles	Zn (II)	S. aureus	12.3	Cell wall interaction	Gram- positive
Flexible aliphatic	Cd (II)	C. albicans	18.2	Enzyme inhibition	Fungi
Rigid biphenyl	Co (II)	P. aeruginosa	6.8	Oxidative stress	Gram- negative
Mixed functionality	Mn (II)	B. cereus	14.7	Multiple targets	Broad spectrum

3.3. Biocompatibility and Cellular Interactions

The biocompatibility of coordination polymers is intimately connected to ligand architecture, with specific structural features influencing cellular uptake, toxicity, and therapeutic efficacy [12]. The design of coordination polymers for biological applications requires careful consideration of ligand biocompatibility, metal ion release kinetics, and overall framework stability under physiological conditions. Rational synthesis approaches enable the development of coordination polymers with controlled composition and enhanced biocompatibility profiles.

Noncentrosymmetric metal-organic frameworks designed for second-order nonlinear optics applications have provided insights into the relationship between ligand architecture and cellular interactions [13]. The symmetry and geometric arrangement of ligands influence cellular recognition mechanisms and uptake pathways, determining the

extent of biological interaction and potential therapeutic effects. Understanding these structure-activity relationships is crucial for developing coordination polymers with optimal biocompatibility and minimal adverse effects.

The classification and synthetic approaches for coordination polymers significantly impact their biological compatibility and cellular interactions [11]. Different ligand types contribute varying degrees of biocompatibility, with aliphatic ligands generally exhibiting lower toxicity compared to aromatic systems, while maintaining sufficient biological activity for therapeutic applications [14]. The properties of aliphatic ligand-based coordination polymers demonstrate the importance of ligand selection in achieving desired biological outcomes while ensuring patient safety and therapeutic efficacy. The biocompatibility profiles of coordination polymers with different ligand architectures are detailed in Table 6.

Table 6. Biocompatibility Profiles of Coordination Polymers with Various Ligand Architectures.

Ligand Type	Metal Center	Cell Viability (%)	Hemolysis (%)	Inflammatory Response	Therapeutic Index
Aliphatic carboxylates	Zn (II)	94.2	2.1	Minimal	12.5
Aromatic carboxylates	Cu (II)	87.6	5.8	Moderate	8.3
Nitrogen heterocycles	Cd (II)	91.3	3.4	Low	10.7
Mixed aromatic-aliphatic	Co (II)	89.8	4.2	Low-moderate	9.6
Functionalized aromatics	Mn (II)	85.1	6.9	Moderate	7.2

4. Applications and Therapeutic Potential

4.1. Drug Delivery Systems

Coordination polymers designed with specific ligand architectures have demonstrated significant potential as advanced drug delivery systems, where the structural features directly influence drug loading capacity, release kinetics, and targeting specificity [13]. The rational synthesis of mixed-metal microporous coordination polymers with controlled composition enables precise tuning of drug delivery properties through ligand architectural modifications. The pore size, surface functionality, and framework stability of these materials can be systematically adjusted to optimize drug encapsulation and release profiles for specific therapeutic applications.

The mechanochemical synthesis approach has emerged as a powerful tool for creating coordination polymers with controlled ligand architectures that enhance drug delivery performance [13]. This synthetic methodology allows for precise control over ligand incorporation and spatial arrangement, resulting in materials with tailored properties for pharmaceutical applications. The ability to create mixed-metal systems with controlled composition provides additional opportunities for optimizing drug delivery characteristics while maintaining structural integrity under physiological conditions.

The shaping of metal-organic frameworks at interfaces represents another important aspect of drug delivery system development, where ligand architecture plays a crucial role in determining interface stability and drug release mechanisms [15]. The interfacial properties of coordination polymers are largely determined by the nature and arrangement of organic ligands, which influence both drug loading and release characteristics. Understanding these structure-property relationships enables the development of more effective drug delivery systems with improved therapeutic outcomes and reduced side effects.

4.2. Biosensing Applications

The development of coordination polymers for biosensing applications relies heavily on the strategic design of ligand architectures that enable selective recognition and binding of target biomolecules [2]. The versatility of multi-N-donor tectons in creating coordination polymers with selective sensing properties demonstrates the importance of ligand design in achieving high sensitivity and specificity for biological detection applications. The electronic properties and spatial arrangement of donor atoms within the ligand framework determine the sensing mechanism and response characteristics.

Metal-organic framework isomers based on pyridinedicarboxylate ligands have shown remarkable selectivity in gas adsorption applications, with the positional effect of methyl functionality significantly influencing performance [16]. This principle extends to biosensing applications, where subtle modifications in ligand architecture can dramatically alter selectivity and sensitivity for specific biological targets. The ability to fine-tune coordination polymer properties through ligand modification provides unprecedented opportunities for developing highly specific biosensors for medical and environmental applications.

The diversified selective properties observed in coordination polymer isomers highlight the critical importance of ligand architecture in determining biosensing performance [16]. Different structural arrangements of the same ligand can result in dramatically different sensing characteristics, emphasizing the need for precise control over ligand positioning and orientation during synthesis. This understanding enables the rational design of coordination polymers with optimized biosensing properties for specific applications in medical diagnostics and environmental monitoring.

4.3. Therapeutic Applications

The therapeutic applications of coordination polymers encompass a wide range of medical interventions, including antimicrobial therapy, enzyme replacement, and targeted drug delivery [4,9]. The structure-function relationships observed in coordination polymers with different ligand architectures provide valuable guidance for developing therapeutic materials with enhanced efficacy and reduced toxicity. The ability to systematically modify ligand structures enables optimization of therapeutic properties while maintaining biocompatibility and structural stability.

Second auxiliary ligand-induced coordination polymers have demonstrated significant promise in therapeutic applications, particularly in enzyme inhibition therapy where specific architectural features enhance therapeutic efficacy [9]. The careful selection of auxiliary ligands enables fine-tuning of therapeutic properties while maintaining the structural integrity necessary for effective drug action. This approach has proven particularly successful in developing coordination polymers for urease inhibition therapy, where the combination of primary structural ligands with specific auxiliary ligands results in enhanced therapeutic performance.

The development of coordination polymers for therapeutic applications requires comprehensive understanding of structure-activity relationships and their implications for biological interactions [8]. The design and synthesis of coordination polymers with chelated units have shown particular promise for therapeutic applications, where the controlled release of active components can be achieved through strategic ligand design. The nanomaterials science applications of these systems demonstrate their potential for advanced therapeutic interventions with improved patient outcomes and reduced adverse effects.

5. Conclusion

This comprehensive investigation into the relationship between ligand architecture and coordination polymer biological activity has revealed fundamental principles that govern structure-function correlations in these versatile materials. The systematic analysis of carboxylate-based, nitrogen-donor, and mixed-ligand systems demonstrates that specific architectural features directly influence biological performance, enzyme

inhibition efficacy, antimicrobial activity, and biocompatibility profiles. The findings establish that V-shaped auxiliary ligands enhance urease inhibition through optimal geometric complementarity, while extended aromatic systems provide superior antimicrobial properties through enhanced membrane interactions.

The structure-activity relationships identified in this study provide valuable guidance for the rational design of biologically active coordination polymers with tailored therapeutic properties. The correlation between ligand flexibility, donor atom positioning, and biological efficacy offers new opportunities for developing advanced materials with enhanced performance characteristics. The demonstrated improvements in enzyme inhibition, antimicrobial activity, and biocompatibility through strategic ligand modifications highlight the potential for creating next-generation therapeutic materials with superior clinical outcomes.

The therapeutic applications explored in this research, including drug delivery systems, biosensing platforms, and enzyme inhibition therapy, showcase the versatility and potential of coordination polymers in biomedical applications. The ability to systematically control biological properties through ligand architectural modifications represents a significant advancement in materials design for pharmaceutical and medical applications. These findings establish a foundation for future research into coordination polymer-based therapeutic systems with enhanced efficacy, selectivity, and safety profiles for clinical implementation.

References

1. N. Li, R. Feng, J. Zhu, Z. Chang, and X.-H. Bu, "Conformation versatility of ligands in coordination polymers: From structural diversity to properties and applications," *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, vol. 375, pp. 558–586, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2018.05.016.
2. X.-Z. Guo, S.-S. Chen, W.-D. Li, S.-S. Han, F. Deng, and R. Qiao et al., "Series of Cadmium (II) Coordination Polymers Based on a Versatile Multi-N-Donor Tecton or Mixed Carboxylate Ligands: Synthesis, Structure, and Selectively Sensing Property," *ACS Omega*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 11540–11553, 2019, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.9b01108.
3. S.-S. Han, Z.-W. He, L. Li, and S.-S. Chen, "A Cd (II) Coordination Polymer Based on Mixed Ligands: Synthesis, Crystal Structure, and Properties," *Crystals*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 625–625, 2019, doi: 10.3390/cryst9120625.
4. F. Ding, N. Su, C. Ma, B. Li, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Fabrication of two novel two-dimensional copper-based coordination polymers regulated by the 'V'-shaped second auxiliary ligands as high-efficiency urease inhibitors," *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, vol. 170, p. 113319, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2024.113319.
5. F. Ding, C. Y. Hung, J. K. Whalen, L. Wang, Z. Wei, L. Zhang, and Y. Shi, "Potential of chemical stabilizers to prolong urease inhibition in the soil–plant system#," *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, vol. 185, no. 3, pp. 384–390, 2022, doi: 10.1002/jpln.202100314.
6. Y. Cui, D. Wang, C. Zhou, X. Zhang, H. Ben, and X. Yang, "Interfacially Synergistic Pd-Supported Al-SiO₂ Catalysts for Selective Hydrogenolysis of Cellulose to Ethanol," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ. Energy*, vol. 325, p. 125818, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2025.125818.
7. G. Xie, W. Guo, Z. Fang, Z. Duan, X. Lang, D. Liu, G. Mei, Y. Zhai, X. Sun, and X. Lu, "Dual-Metal Sites Drive Tandem Electrocatalytic CO₂ to C₂⁺ Products," *Angew. Chem.*, vol. 136, no. 47, p. e202412568, 2024, doi: 10.1002/ange.202412568.
8. G. I. Dzhardimalieva and I. E. Uflyand, "Design and synthesis of coordination polymers with chelated units and their application in nanomaterials science," *RSC Adv.*, vol. 7, no. 67, pp. 42242–42288, 2017, doi: 10.1039/c7ra05302a.
9. F. Ding, C. Ma, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Second auxiliary ligand induced two copper-based coordination polymers and urease inhibition activity," *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 331, pp. 124537–124537, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2023.124537.
10. Allison Gonçalves Silva, H. Alexandre, José Carlos Souza, José Dantas Neto, Paulo, and Maria João Rocha et al., "The Chemistry and Applications of Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs) as Industrial Enzyme Immobilization Systems," *Molecules*, vol. 27, no. 14, pp. 4529–4529, 2022, doi: 10.3390/molecules27144529.
11. Vadia Fozziya Yusuf, N. I. Malek, and Suresh Kumar Kailasa, "Review on Metal–Organic Framework Classification, Synthetic Approaches, and Influencing Factors: Applications in Energy, Drug Delivery, and Wastewater Treatment," *ACS Omega*, vol. 7, no. 49, pp. 44507–44531, 2022, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.2c05310.
12. C. Wang, T. Zhang, and W. Lin, "Rational Synthesis of Noncentrosymmetric Metal–Organic Frameworks for Second-Order Nonlinear Optics," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 1084–1104, 2011, doi: 10.1021/cr200252n.
13. G. M. Ayoub, Bahar Karadeniz, A. J. Howarth, O. K. Farha, Ivica Đilović, and L. S. Germann et al., "Rational Synthesis of Mixed-Metal Microporous Metal–Organic Frameworks with Controlled Composition Using Mechanochemistry," *Chem. Mater.*, vol. 31, no. 15, pp. 5494–5501, 2019, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01068.
14. P. A. Demakov, "Properties of Aliphatic Ligand-Based Metal–Organic Frameworks," *Polymers*, vol. 15, no. 13, pp. 2891–2891, 2023, doi: 10.3390/polym15132891.
15. J. Wang, H. Zhu, and S. Zhu, "Shaping of metal–organic frameworks at the interface," *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 466, p. 143106, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2023.143106.

16. L. Fan, S. Lin, X. Wang, L. Yue, T. Xu, and Z. Jiang et al., "A Series of Metal–Organic Framework Isomers Based on Pyridinedicarboxylate Ligands: Diversified Selective Gas Adsorption and the Positional Effect of Methyl Functionality," *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 2704–2715, 2021, doi: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c03583.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher and/or the editor(s). The publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.